

Appl. No.: 10/656,893
Filed: September 4, 2003
Amdt. dated 05/16/2005

Amendments to the Specification:

Specification: Title

Please amend the Title to read as follows:

**METHOD FOR PROVIDING A ROOF STRUCTURE HAVING MULTIPLE TILE
SHAPES OR APPEARANCES OF SAME**

Appl. No.: 10/656,893
Filed: September 4, 2003
Amdt. dated 05/16/2005

Specification: Cross Reference to Related Applications

Please replace the Subsection entitled "CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS" to read as follows:

The present application claims the full benefit and priority of pending U.S. provisional patent application serial no. 60/441,366, filed January 21, 2003, entitled "Single Tile Having Two Piece Appearance". The present application also claims the full benefit and priority of pending U.S. provisional patent application serial no. 60/423,971, filed November 4, 2002, entitled "Method And Apparatus For Providing Multiple Tile Shapes From A Single Tile". The present application claims the full benefit and priority and is a continuation-in-part (CIP) of pending U.S. Non-Provisional Patent Application Serial No. 10/347,663 filed January 21, 2003 entitled "Single Tile Having Two Piece Appearance". The entire contents of the aforementioned two provisional patent applications and one non-provisional patent application are incorporated by reference.

Appl. No.: 10/656,893
Filed: September 4, 2003
Amdt. dated 05/16/2005

Specification: Page 8

Please replace the paragraph on page 8, beginning on line 16, with the following paragraph:

Generally described, this embodiment invention includes the use of an S-Tile mold, combined with a scoring, knifing, and/or coloring process, which allows for an S-Tile to appear as two separate tile sections having a general C-shaped cross section, by use of a "simulation interface channel" 9. These tile sections having a general C-shaped cross section may also be known as "mission tiles".

Note for the record that the replaced paragraph is similar to the above replacement paragraph with the exception of the terms "and/or" versus "and or".